#### **CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes**

### Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

Lehi City has completed its third administering a federal award of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. The use of these funds is governed by the Lehi City Consolidated Plan (2014-2018), which details the five-year strategies to address the community needs, and its Annual Action Plan (AAP), which outlines the activities to be undertaken over the course of one year. As a new entitlement, Lehi has committed to a strategy of addressing the most pressing needs in the community. With limited CDBG funding, the City prioritized improvements to public instructure in the Historic Downtown area, which as per current Census data, is the highest LMI area in the City.

The 2016 AAP identified the entire grant to be used towards a three year project, upgrading infrastructure in the Historic Downtown Lehi area. The 2016 FY was the third year of the project. As of June 30, 2017, the project has been completed and all funds have been expended.

# Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

| Goal           | Category   | Source / | Indicator                | Unit of   | Expected  | Actual –  | Percent  | Expected | Actual – | Percent  |
|----------------|------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                |            | Amount   |                          | Measure   | -         | Strategic | Complete | -        | Program  | Complete |
|                |            |          |                          |           | Strategic | Plan      |          | Program  | Year     |          |
|                |            |          |                          |           | Plan      |           |          | Year     |          |          |
| Affordable     |            |          |                          | Household |           |           |          |          |          |          |
| rental housing | Affordable |          |                          |           | 40        |           |          |          |          |          |
| for LMI        | Housing    |          | Rental units constructed | Housing   | 12        | 0         | 0.00%    |          |          |          |
| households     | 0          |          |                          | Unit      |           |           |          |          |          |          |
| ilouseilolus   |            |          |                          |           |           |           |          |          |          |          |

| Decent Housing |               |          | Overnight/Emergency       |          |     |     |          |   |     |  |
|----------------|---------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|-----|-----|----------|---|-----|--|
| for homeless   | Homeless      |          | Shelter/Transitional      | Beds     | 10  | 0   | 0.00%    |   |     |  |
| households     |               |          | Housing Beds added        |          |     |     | 0.00%    |   |     |  |
| Health and     |               | CDBG: \$ | Public Facility or        |          |     |     |          |   |     |  |
| Safety         | Non-Housing   | / City   | Infrastructure Activities | Persons  |     |     |          |   |     |  |
| Improvements   | Community     | Funds:   | other than                | Assisted | 132 | 480 | 363.64%  | 0 | 480 |  |
| to             | Development   | ے ا      | Low/Moderate Income       | Assisted |     |     | 303.04/0 |   |     |  |
| Infrastructure |               | ٦        | Housing Benefit           |          |     |     |          |   |     |  |
| Supportive     | Non-          |          | Public service activities |          |     |     |          |   |     |  |
| Services for   | Homeless      |          | other than                | Persons  | 20  | 0   |          |   |     |  |
| special needs  | Special Needs |          | Low/Moderate Income       | Assisted | 20  |     | 0.00%    |   |     |  |
| households     | Special Needs |          | Housing Benefit           |          |     |     |          |   |     |  |

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

During the 2016 program year, measurable progress was made on the highest priority goal: health and safety improvement to public infrastructure in the historic downtown area. The project was completed at the end of this program year. Due to the small amount of funds the City receives each year, the City has determined that the highest benefit to the City is to direct resources into one project.

## CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

|   | CDBG |
|---|------|
| White                                     | 736  |
| Black or African American                 | 4    |
| Asian                                     | 3    |
| American Indian or American Native        | 4    |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 4    |
| Total                                     | 751  |
| Hispanic                                  | 49   |
| Not Hispanic                              | 726  |

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

#### Narrative

The sole 2016 project is an infrastructure project completed in an LMI Census Block Group. For this reason, an individual survey of residents was not completed.

## CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

#### Identify the resources made available

| Source of Funds | Source | Resources Made<br>Available | Amount Expended During Program Year |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CDBG            | CDBG   | 469,131                     | 329,210                             |
| HOME            | HOME   |                             |                                     |
| HOPWA           | HOPWA  |                             |                                     |
| ESG             | ESG    |                             |                                     |
| Other           | Other  | 0                           |                                     |

**Table 3 - Resources Made Available** 

#### **Narrative**

Lehi City was awarded \$226,217 in CDBG funds for the 2016 program year and carried over \$102,992.51 in unexpended resources from the 2015 program year, all of which was allocated to the current Historic Downtown Lehi Pipeline Replacement project. All of the funds were expended this program year, and the project was closed out, leaving the CDBG budget at a \$0 amount.

#### Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

| Target Area   | Planned<br>Percentage of<br>Allocation | Actual Percentage of Allocation | Narrative Description                     |
|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| City Wide     |  |                                 |   |
| Downtown      |  |                                 | Old Lehi City qualified census tract with |
| Historic Lehi | 100                                    |                                 | need for infrastructure improvements      |

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

#### **Narrative**

Downtown Historic Lehi contains the highest percentage of LMI residents within the City. Additionally, it is also an area with aging infrastructure and undersized utilities. For these reasons, it was determined that this area is the highest priority need within the City.

#### Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The entire Historic Downtown Lehi Pipeline Replacement project is located within the public right of way, thus the entire project will be within City-owned public lands/rights-of-way. The City provided project management, completion of the environmental report and CDBG administrative staff for the project - 100% of the funds were used for direct project costs, including consulting engineering, materials, and construction. Additionally, at the completion of the project, Lehi City will cover any additional project expenses.

## CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

|  | One-Year Goal | Actual |
|--|---------------|--------|
| Number of Homeless households to be      |               |        |
| provided affordable housing units        | 0             | 0      |
| Number of Non-Homeless households to be  |               |        |
| provided affordable housing units        | 18            | 0      |
| Number of Special-Needs households to be |               |        |
| provided affordable housing units        | 0             | 0      |
| Total                                    | 18            | 0      |

Table 5 - Number of Households

|  | One-Year Goal | Actual |
|--|---------------|--------|
| Number of households supported through |               |        |
| Rental Assistance                      | 0             | 0      |
| Number of households supported through |               |        |
| The Production of New Units            | 0             | 0      |
| Number of households supported through |               |        |
| Rehab of Existing Units                | 1             | 0      |
| Number of households supported through |               |        |
| Acquisition of Existing Units          | 0             | 0      |
| Total                                  | 1             | 0      |

Table 6 - Number of Households Supported

## Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

The current Consolidated Plan recognizes the need for additional affordable hosuing units to be provided within Lehi City;however due to the limited CDBG funds expected to be received annually by the City, it was not established as a priority need. Additionally, due to the city's participation with the Utah County HOME Consortium and Mountainland Continuum of Care (both agencies that address these needs directly), the City has allocated its funds to other priorty needs - namely creating safe and sutainable neighborhoods in a precominantly LMI neighborhoods.

## Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Although the amound of CDBG funding continues to be a limiting factor to addressing many housing issues, the City does anticipate that future projects may be addressed by future Action Plans. Additionally, continued participation in the Utah County HOME Consortium as a Participationg Jurisdiction and the Mountainland Continuum of Care will help address immediate needs within the community.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

| Number of Households Served | CDBG Actual | HOME Actual |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Extremely Low-income        | 0           | 0           |
| Low-income                  | 0           | 0           |
| Moderate-income             | 0           | 0           |
| Total                       | 0           | 0           |

Table 7 – Number of Households Served

#### **Narrative Information**

The City did not fund any housing projects with the 2016 funds.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c) Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The Mountainland Continuum of Care (COC) is dedicated to preventing homelessness in the County. As per most recent data, Utah has seen an unprecedented decrease in chronic homelessness due to implementation of a state program called Housing First. There are currently no facilities or programs operating within the City itself; however Lehi will begin to have representation at COC meetings.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

There are no such housing facilities within Lehi City.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Lehi City supports the Mountainland COC which provides these services.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Lehi City supports the Mountainland COC which provides these services.

## CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

## Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

There are currently no public housing facilities within Lehi City. Beginning July 1, 2017, Lehi City will be joining the Utah County HOME Consortium as a contributory member, which will allow Lehi City to be involved in future public housing projects within the County.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Not applicable

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

Not applicable

## CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

As per State requirements, the City is working to complete an update to the required Moderate Income Housing Plan. The plan includes an estimate of the need for the development of additional moderate income housing and long-term projections for land use and development occurring to meet those needs. In addition, the City has recently approved zone changes and conceptual development plans for approximately 1300 medium to high density residential units, which will provide needed affordable housing units within the City.

### Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Due to the modest amount of CDBG funds allocated to Lehi City, it was determined that the funds were not in an amount that would significantly further afforadable housing. The City supports other programs which do so.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Addressed above

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

No CDBG funds were used for this purpose during the 2016 program year.

#### Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The 2016 program year is the third year for Lehi as an Entitlement City. In the first three years, Lehi has worked to develop the program foundatation with assistance from HUD representatives. Lehi staff has attended several trainings - both webinars and in-person training sessions.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City has actively sought to join the Utah County/Provo HOME Consortium, and will be a member beginning with the 2017 program year. Additionally, the City has also agreed to attend Mountainland COC meetings, which are managed by the United Way.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the

#### jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

Lehi City has been adressed by the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice: Provo City and Utah County, completed February 2015. From that document, Lehi has taken the following measures to address impediments:

<u>Impediment #1</u>: Segregation Due to Concentrations of Affordable Housing: Nimbyism, Zoning, and Land Prices. The document identified that Lehi has provided affordable owner-occupied opportunities. Recent zone changes have allowed for high density developments, which typically produce moderately priced housing units, both owner-occupied and rental units.

<u>Impediment #2</u>: Concentration of Tax Credit and Rent Assisted Apartment Communities. Currently, Lehi City does have one HUD-assisted apartment project consisting of 64 two-bedroom and 128 three-bedroom apartments, income restricted to those making 50% MSAMI.

<u>Impediment #3</u>: Concentration of Rental Units Limits Housing Choice for HUD Voucher Holders. Lehi City has a higher percentage of rental housing than most other cities in the county. Recent zone changes and new developments have provided rental housing in various area of the City, instead of concentrating the higher densities in one area.

<u>Impediment #4</u>: Vague and Outdated Housing Plans. Lehi City has updated their Moderate Income Housing Plan as of February 2016.

<u>Impediment #5</u>: Availability of Rental Units for Large Families. Lehi City has currently not addressed this issue.

<u>Impediment #6</u>: Language Access Plans Fundamental to Housing Discrimination Complaint Process and Information. Lehi does not have a fair housing office.

<u>Impediment #7</u>: High Cost of Housing Development at TODs Impedes Development of Affordable Housing. Lehi currently does not have a TOD

<u>Impediment #8</u>: Hispanic Denial Rates for Mortgage Loans indicate Possible Impediment to Fair Housing. This is not an issue that Lehi City is able to address as a municipality.

<u>Impediment #9</u>: Housing and Disabled Individuals. Lehi recently passed an ordinance for approving reasonable accommodating in rules, policies, practices, or services to afford persons with disabilities equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling. The first project has applied for and been approved, to allow for a residential dwelling within a traditional single family neighborhood to be used as a home for persons with a disability.

## **CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230**

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Lehi City Planning Division staff provided ongoing technical assistance, project monitoring and regular site visits to ensure program compliance with HUD regulations. Regular monitoring visits were made to verify that the project progressed in a timely manner and interviewed project contractors to assure wages and work completed were correctly reported.

#### Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

As per the Citizen Participation Plan, the CAPER must be approved at a City Council Public Hearing, with notification given 14 days prior to the hearing; however, 30 days noticing will be given. Notification will be published on the City's website and the Utah State Public Noticing website. Hard copies will also be made available at City Hall.

## CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

No changes were made to program objectives from the 2015 to 2016, and no changes are anticipated for the future, as the ongoing, multi-year infrastructure program has been successfully completed.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

## CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

No changes were made to program objectives from the 2015 to 2016, and no changes are anticipated for the future, as the ongoing, multi-year infrastructure program has been successfully completed.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.